

The
WORLD TRANSFORMATION MOVEMENT®
presents

Consciousness

What is Love?

What is the Meaning of Life?

THE BOOK

Human Condition

What is Science?

Good vs Evil

OF

Why do we Fall in Love?

How can we Save the World?

Conscience

REAL

Is there a God?

Human Nature

ANSWERS

Soul

Our Ego, and Egocentric Lives

TO

Why do People Lie?

EVERYTHING!

by **Jeremy Griffith**

‘Such is the explosion of insight that occurs when biological understanding of the human condition is finally found—as it now is—that all the books in all the libraries in all the world couldn’t deliver the definitive explanations contained here in *The Book of Real Answers to Everything!*, and in *Freedom*, the complete presentation of Jeremy Griffith’s treatise.’

Tim Macartney-Snape, AM OAM, Patron of the World Transformation Movement

The Book of Real Answers to Everything!, by Jeremy Griffith:
Published by WTM Publishing and Communications Pty Ltd (ACN 103 136 778)
First published in Australia 2011

All inquiries to:

WORLD TRANSFORMATION MOVEMENT® (WTM®)

GPO Box 5095, Sydney NSW 2001, Australia

Phone: + 61 2 9486 3308 Fax: + 61 2 9486 3409

Email: info@worldtransformation.com

Website: www.humancondition.com or www.worldtransformation.com

The WTM is a non-profit organisation which holds an Authority to Fundraise for Charitable Purposes in NSW, Australia.

ISBN 978-1-74129-007-3

COPYRIGHT NOTICE

This book is protected by Australian copyright laws and international copyright treaty provisions. All rights are reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced by any process without written permission from the copyright owner. The moral rights of the authors Jeremy Griffith and Harry Prosen are asserted.

The Book of Real Answers to Everything! copyright © Fedmex Pty Ltd (ACN 096 099 286) 2011-2016.

Trade marks: WORLD TRANSFORMATION MOVEMENT, WTM, the arms-raised man before the rising sun logo are registered trade marks of Fedmex Pty Ltd (ACN 096 099 286).

Cover by Jeremy Griffith, copyright © Fedmex Pty Ltd (ACN 096 099 286) 2011-2016.

Edited by Fiona Cullen-Ward.

Typeset by Lee Jones and Polly Watson.

Note to the Reader

The objective of this book is to provide a stand-alone, first-principle-based, scientific explanation of each of the following subjects that have so troubled the human mind since time immemorial. Since the explanations are designed to be self-contained, and since (as will become clear) the human condition is the underlying issue in *all* human affairs, each of the explanations does contain certain similar material, however, important subtle differences appear within those similar sections.

Contents

	PAGE
Foreword	5
The Human Condition	7
What is Science?	14
What is Love?	33
Soul	40
Conscience	54
Good vs Evil	64
What is the Meaning of Life?	70
Is there a God?	77
Ego, and Our Egocentric Lives	85
Save the World	90
Consciousness	96
Human Nature	102
Why do People Lie?	108
Why do we Fall in Love?	114



Foreword

While I am a psychiatrist, not a biologist, the subject of our human condition *is* the area of inquiry where psychiatry and biology finally converge. Evidence for this is the term ‘Evolutionary Psychology’, which is one of the theories currently used to explain human behaviour—specifically the human condition. Given the plight of the world—which we humans are responsible for—the human condition is certainly the subject upon which all areas of science *should* be focused. As the Harvard biologist Edward O. Wilson has said, ‘**The human condition is the most important frontier of the natural sciences**’ (*Consilience*, 1998, p.298).

However, in terms of understanding our peculiar ‘human condition’, I don’t believe the theories that have been put forward by mainstream biologists have succeeded in presenting a satisfactory, truly accountable explanation of it. In fact, I have become aware of two statements made by the great Australian biologist Charles Birch that I think accurately capture the stalled situation that has existed in biology, which are that ‘**Biology has not made any real advance since Darwin**’ (in recorded conversation with this author, 20 Mar. 1987), and ‘**Biology right now awaits its Einstein in the realm of consciousness studies**’ (ABC Radio National, *Ockham’s Razor*, 16 Apr. 1997). I say ‘has existed’ because I believe, as I’ve said on occasions elsewhere, that Jeremy Griffith’s biological treatise on the human condition does finally provide humanity with a truly accountable explanation of this most perplexing and important of subjects. The clarity with which he explains the grand concepts featured in this book alone is testament to this.

I must say I am so thrilled with Griffith’s explanation of the human condition that I am dedicated to promoting it wherever possible. As a psychiatrist I recognise that the impasse to finding this great breakthrough understanding of the human condition has been that the subjective issue of the human condition has been all but impossible for humans to think effectively about, but now that this great psychological denial blocking access to the truth about ourselves has finally been penetrated and understanding of ourselves found, the now long overdue psychological rehabilitation of the human race *can* finally occur. Again, as I have also said on numerous occasions, this is all *so* exciting—I am quite overwhelmed to be here on Earth when these *REAL* answers are finally established!

I cannot recommend strongly enough the understandings contained in this book, or in the more complete presentation that is provided in Griffith’s book *FREEDOM*.

Harry Prosen, M.D., M.Sc.
December 2011 (revised 2015)

Harry Prosen is a professor of psychiatry who has worked in the field for over 50 years, including chairing two departments of psychiatry and serving as president of the Canadian Psychiatric Association. Professor Prosen was recently appointed one of 500 Specially Selected Fellows of the American College of Psychiatrists, and a Distinguished Life Member of the American Psychiatric Association. He is also psychiatric consultant to the Bonobo Species Preservation Society.

Save the World

Written by Australian biologist Jeremy Griffith, 2011

The environment is promoted everywhere as *the* great ‘Save the World’ issue, BUT the truth is we have only been focusing on the *symptoms* of the devastation of our world and the disintegration of society that is happening everywhere we look, not the *cause*, which is *us humans*—our egocentric, competitive, selfish and aggressive behaviour. And the deeper truth is, to change that behaviour and, by so doing, *truly* save the world, we needed to find the reconciling, redeeming and thus rehabilitating biological explanation of our seemingly-highly-imperfect so-called HUMAN CONDITION! As the author Richard Neville so accurately summarised our species’ plight: ‘we humans are locked in a race between self destruction and self discovery’ (*Sydney Morning Herald*, 14/10/86).

MOST WONDERFULLY, however, biology *is* now, at last, able to provide this long dreamed-of, exonerating and thus psychologically rehabilitating and human-race-transforming understanding of ourselves that *will* actually save the world! Yes, at the absolute eleventh hour for our species, the arrival of ‘self discovery’ finally and thankfully gives us the *real* means to defeat the threat of ‘self destruction’ and save the world! (And it should be mentioned that this explanation of our species’ deeply psychologically troubled human condition is *not* the psychosis-avoiding, trivialising, dishonest account of it that the biologist E.O. Wilson has put forward in his theory of Eusociality, but the psychosis-addressing-and-solving, *real* explanation of it.)



Image credit: Save the World © 2008-2012--Parithosh.Lic

The reality has been that until we found the reconciling, redeeming and thus healing truthful explanation of the human condition we could hardly afford to admit that the issue even existed, let alone acknowledge that it is THE underlying, core, *real* question in all of

human life that we needed to solve if we were to save the world. Yes, are humans good or are we possibly the terrible mistake that all the evidence seems to unequivocally indicate we might be? While it's undeniable that humans are capable of great love, we also have an unspeakable history of greed, environmental indifference, brutality, rape, torture, murder and war. Despite all our marvellous accomplishments, we humans have been the most ferocious and destructive force that has ever lived on Earth—and the eternal question that we needed to answer if we were to actually save the world has been 'why?' Even in our everyday behaviour, why have we humans been so competitive, selfish and aggressive when clearly the ideals of life are to be the complete opposite, namely cooperative, selfless and loving? In fact, why are we *so* ruthlessly competitive, selfish and brutal that human life has become all but unbearable and we have nearly destroyed our own planet?!

Unable—until now—to truthfully answer this deepest and darkest of all questions of our seemingly-highly-imperfect, even 'fallen' or corrupted human condition, of are we humans fundamentally good or bad, we have used denial as our only means of coping with the whole depressing subject; so much so, in fact, that the human condition has been described as **'the personal unspeakable'**, and as **'the black box inside of humans they can't go near'**. Indeed, the famous psychoanalyst Carl Jung was referring to this terrifying dilemma of the human condition when he wrote that **'When it [our shadow] appears...it is quite within the bounds of possibility for a man to recognize the relative evil of his nature, but it is a rare and shattering experience for him to gaze into the face of absolute evil'** (*Aion* in *The Collected Works of C.G. Jung*, Vol. 9/2, p.10). Yes, the **'face of absolute evil'** is the **'shattering'** possibility—if we allowed our minds to think about it—that we humans might indeed be a terrible mistake!

So while the human condition has been the *real*, underlying issue we needed to solve if we were to exonerate and thus rehabilitate the human race and save the world, we have been *so* fearful of the issue that instead of confronting it and trying to solve it *we have been* preoccupied denying and escaping it. The truth is, rather than an attempt to save the world, focusing on the environment was a way of *avoiding* the issue of 'self'; it was a way of relieving ourselves of the real issue of our troubled human condition through finding a cause that made us feel good about ourselves—as the editor of *Time* magazine, Richard Stengel, recognised, **'The environment became the last best cause, the ultimate guilt-free issue'** (*Time* mag. 31 Dec. 1990).

Environmental problems are certainly real enough but the fact is, to save the world we had to resolve the issue of *our* less-than-ideally-behaved *human condition* that has been *causing* all the environmental issues and social problems that plague our world. Carl Jung was forever saying that **'wholeness for humans depends on the ability to own their own shadow'** because he recognised that *only* finding understanding of our dark side could end our underlying insecurity about our fundamental goodness and worth as humans and, in so doing, make us **'whole'**. The pre-eminent philosopher Sir Laurens van der Post was making the same point when he said, **'True love is love of the difficult and unlovable'** (*Journey Into Russia*, 1964, p.145) and **'Only by understanding how we were all a part of the same contemporary pattern [of wars, cruelty, greed and indifference] could we defeat those dark forces with a true understanding of their nature and origin'** (*Jung and the Story of Our Time*, 1976, p.24).

So, what is the all-important, reconciling, exonerating and thus psychologically rehabilitating, makes-us-‘whole’, human-race-transforming, biological ‘true understanding’ of our often ferocious and destructive competitive, selfish and aggressive human condition that alone has the power to actually save the world?

Certainly, we have invented excuses to justify our species’ seemingly-imperfect competitive, selfish and aggressive behaviour, the main one being that we have savage animal instincts that make us fight and compete for food, shelter, territory and a mate. Of course, this ‘explanation’, which has been put forward in the biological theories of Social Darwinism, Sociobiology, Evolutionary Psychology, Multilevel Selection and E.O. Wilson’s Eusociality and basically argues that ‘genes are competitive and selfish and that’s why we are’, can’t be the *real* explanation for our competitive, selfish and aggressive behaviour. Firstly, it overlooks the fact that our human behaviour involves our unique fully conscious thinking mind. Descriptions like egocentric, arrogant, deluded, artificial, hateful, mean, immoral, alienated, etc, all imply a consciousness-derived, *psychological* dimension to *our* behaviour. The *real* issue—the psychological problem in our thinking minds that we have suffered from—is the dilemma of our *human condition*, the issue of our species’ ‘good-and-evil’-afflicted, less-than-ideal, even ‘fallen’ or corrupted state. We humans suffer from a consciousness-derived, *psychological* HUMAN CONDITION, *not* an instinct-controlled animal condition—our condition is unique to us fully conscious humans. (A brief description of the theories of Social Darwinism, Sociobiology, Evolutionary Psychology, Multilevel Selection and Eusociality that blame our divisive behaviour on savage instincts rather than on a consciousness-derived psychosis is presented in the [What is Science? article](#) in this, *The Book of Real Answers to Everything!*, with the complete account provided in the freely-available, online book *Freedom: Expanded Book 1* at www.humancondition.com/freedom-expanded-the-denials-in-biology.)

The second reason the savage-instincts-in-us excuse can’t possibly be the real explanation for our divisive, selfish and aggressive behaviour is that it overlooks the fact that we humans have altruistic, cooperative, loving *moral instincts*—what we recognise as our ‘*conscience*’—and these moral instincts in us are *not* derived from reciprocity, from situations where you only do something for others in return for a benefit from them, as Evolutionary Psychologists would have us believe. And nor are they derived from warring with other groups of humans as advocates of the theory of Eusociality would have us believe. No, we have an *unconditionally* selfless, *fully* altruistic, *truly* loving, *universally*-considerate-of-others-not-competitive-with-other-groups, *genuinely* moral conscience. Our original instinctive state was the *opposite* of being competitive, selfish and aggressive: it was fully cooperative, selfless and loving. (How we humans acquired *unconditionally* selfless moral instincts when it would seem that an *unconditionally* selfless, *fully* altruistic trait is going to self-eliminate and thus not ever be able to become established in a species is briefly explained in the above-mentioned [What is Science? article](#), and more fully explained in chapter 5 of *FREEDOM* at www.humancondition.com/freedom-origin-of-morality—however, the point being made here is that the savage-instincts-in-us excuse is completely inconsistent with the fact that we have genuine and entirely *moral* instincts, *NOT* *savage* instincts. Charles Darwin recognised the difference in our moral nature when he said that ‘**the moral sense affords the best and highest distinction between man and the lower animals**’ (*The Descent of Man*, 1871, p.495).)

So, what is the *truthful*, human-condition-addressing rather than human-condition-avoiding, biological explanation of our species’ present seemingly-highly-imperfect,

deeply psychologically troubled, competitive, selfish and aggressive behaviour? The answer begins with an analysis of consciousness.

Very briefly, nerves were originally developed for the coordination of movement in animals, but, once developed, their ability to store impressions—which is what we refer to as ‘memory’—gave rise to the potential to develop understanding of cause and effect. If you can remember past events, you can compare them with current events and identify regularly occurring experiences. This knowledge of, or insight into, what has commonly occurred in the past enables you to predict what is likely to happen in the future and to adjust your behaviour accordingly. Once insights into the nature of change are put into effect, the self-modified behaviour starts to provide feedback, refining the insights further. Predictions are compared with outcomes and so on. Much developed, and such refinement occurred in the human brain, nerves can sufficiently *associate* information to *reason* how experiences are related, learn to *understand* and become CONSCIOUS of, or aware of, or *intelligent* about, the relationship between events that occur through time. Thus consciousness means being sufficiently aware of how experiences are related to attempt to manage change from a basis of understanding.

What is so significant about this process is that once our nerve-based learning system became sufficiently developed for us to become conscious and able to effectively manage events, our conscious intellect was then in a position to wrest control from our gene-based learning system’s instincts, which, up until then, had been controlling our lives. Basically, once our self-adjusting intellect emerged it was capable of taking over the management of our lives from the instinctive orientations we had acquired through the natural selection of genetic traits that adapted us to our environment.

HOWEVER, it was at this juncture, when our conscious intellect challenged our instincts for control, that a terrible battle broke out between our instincts and intellect, the effect of which was the extremely competitive, selfish and aggressive state that we call the human condition.

To elaborate, when our conscious intellect emerged it was neither suitable nor sustainable for it to be *orientated* by instincts—it *had to find understanding* to operate effectively and fulfil its great potential to manage life. However, when our intellect began to exert itself and experiment in the management of life from a basis of understanding, in effect challenging the role of the already established instinctual self, a battle unavoidably broke out between the instinctive self and the newer conscious self.

Our intellect began to experiment in understanding as the only means of discovering the correct and incorrect understandings for managing existence, but the instincts—being in effect ‘unaware’ or ‘ignorant’ of the intellect’s need to carry out these experiments—‘opposed’ any understanding-produced deviations from the established instinctive orientations: they ‘criticised’ and ‘tried to stop’ the conscious mind’s necessary search for knowledge. To illustrate the situation, imagine what would happen if we put a fully conscious mind on the head of a migrating bird. The bird is following an instinctive flight path acquired over thousands of generations of natural selection, but it now has a conscious mind that needs to *understand* how to behave, and the only way it can acquire that understanding is by experimenting in understanding—for example, thinking, ‘I’ll fly down to that island and have a rest.’ But such a deviation from the migratory flight path would naturally result in the instincts resisting the deviation, leaving the conscious intellect in a serious dilemma: if it obeys its instincts it will not feel ‘criticised’ by its instincts but neither will it find knowledge. Obviously, the intellect could not afford to

give in to the instincts, and unable to understand and thus explain why its experiments in self-adjustment were necessary, the conscious intellect had no way of refuting the implicit criticism from the instincts even though it knew it was unjust. Until the conscious mind found the redeeming understanding of why it had to defy the instincts (namely the scientific understanding of the difference in the way genes and nerves process information, that one is an orientating learning system while the other is an insightful learning system), the intellect was left having to endure a psychologically distressed, upset condition, with no choice but to defy that opposition from the instincts. The only forms of defiance available to the conscious intellect were to **attack** the instincts' unjust criticism, try to **deny** or block from its mind the instincts' unjust criticism, and attempt to **prove** the instincts' unjust criticism wrong. In short—and to return to our human situation because we were the species that acquired the fully conscious mind—the psychologically upset **angry, alienated and egocentric** human-condition-afflicted state appeared. Our 'conscious thinking self', which is the dictionary definition of 'ego', became 'centred' or focused on the need to justify itself. We became ego-centric, self-centred or selfish, preoccupied with aggressively competing for opportunities to prove we are good and not bad—we unavoidably became **selfish, aggressive and competitive**.

What is *so* exonerating, rehabilitating and healing about this explanation of the human condition is that we can finally appreciate that there was a *very good* reason for our angry, alienated and egocentric behaviour—in fact, we can now see why we have not just been ego-centric, but ego-*infuriated*, even ego-gone-mad-with-murderous-anger for having to live with so much unjust criticism. We can *now* see that our conscious mind was *NOT* the evil villain it has so long been portrayed as—such as in the Bible where Adam and Eve are demonised and '**banished...from the Garden of Eden**' (Gen. 3:23) of our original innocent, all-loving, moral state for taking the '**fruit...from the tree of knowledge**' (ibid. 3:3, 2:17). No, science has finally enabled us to lift the so-called 'burden of guilt' from the human race; in fact, to understand that we thinking, '**knowledge**'-finding, conscious humans are actually nothing less than the heroes of the story of life on Earth! This is because our fully conscious mind is surely nature's greatest invention and to have had to endure the torture of being unjustly condemned as evil for *so* long (the anthropological evidence indicates we humans have been fully conscious for some two million years) *must make us* the absolute heroes of the story of life on Earth.

And BEST OF ALL, because this explanation of the human condition is redeeming and thus rehabilitating, all our upset angry, egocentric and alienated behaviour now subsides, bringing about the complete TRANSFORMATION OF THE HUMAN RACE, which *will* save the world. (Importantly, understanding of the human condition doesn't condone 'bad' behaviour, it *heals* and by so doing *ends* it.) From being competitive, selfish and aggressive, humans return to being cooperative, selfless and loving. Our round of departure *has* ended. The poet T.S. Eliot wonderfully articulated our species' journey from an original innocent, yet ignorant, state, to a psychologically upset 'fallen', corrupted state, and back to an uncorrupted, but this time enlightened, state when he wrote, '**We shall not cease from exploration and the end of all our exploring will be to arrive where we started and know the place for the first time**' (*Little Gidding*, 1942). Yes, finding the exonerating, redeeming understanding of our dark, troubled, psychologically upset, human-condition-afflicted existence finally enables the human race to be healed and thus TRANSFORMED—it makes us '**whole**' again, as Jung said it would.

Our ability to truly save the world depended on finding redeeming and thus psychologically ameliorating, truthful, real understanding of *ourselves*. So if you want to save the snow leopard, or the Amazon, or those you love, or yourself, the only way to do so is through understanding the human condition. To quote Professor Harry Prosen, a former president of the Canadian Psychiatric Association, on this dreamed-of, greatest of all breakthroughs in science: ‘I have no doubt this biological explanation of the human condition is the holy grail of insight we have sought for the psychological rehabilitation of the human race’ (*FREEDOM*, 2016, Introduction).

As just demonstrated, with understanding of the human condition found ALL the great issues finally become explainable.

See also: Human condition—What is science?—What is love?—Soul—Conscience—Good vs Evil—What is the meaning of life?—Is there a God?—Our ego and egocentric lives—Consciousness—Human nature—Why do people lie?—Why do we fall in love?

For a book of these explanations to keep or give to others, print *The Book of Real Answers to Everything!* by Jeremy Griffith, featuring a Foreword by Professor Harry Prosen, at www.humancondition.com/real-answers

and/or

Watch videos on the biological explanation of the human condition and the dreamed-of TRANSFORMATION of the human race that it brings about at www.humancondition.com

and/or

Read *FREEDOM*, the definitive book on the world-transforming explanation of the human condition, at www.humancondition.com/freedom

BLANK PAGE